



# COUNCILLOR CONTACT WITH LOBBYISTS, DEVELOPERS AND SUBMITTERS POLICY

**Adopted Date:** 11<sup>th</sup> May 2012  
**Policy Number:** GRC 0001  
**Policy Type:** Strategic  
**Responsible Officer:** Chief Executive Officer  
**Department:** Executive Office

Version	Decision Number or CEO Approval	Decision Date	History
1.	GRC 0001	11 May 2012	Adopted at Post Election Meeting of Council; Meeting Resolution No. PEM 007/12
2.	Amended	28 May 2014	Adopted at Ordinary Meeting of Council: Meeting Resolution No. OM-075/14
3.	Reviewed Resolution OM – 112/17	28 June 2017	Review June 2020
4.	Reviewed Resolution OM-090/20	24 June 2020	Review June 2021
5.	Reviewed Resolution OM-150/21	21 July 2021	Review July 2024

## 1. BACKGROUND

The Councillor Contact with Lobbyists, Developers and Submitters Policy is intended to provide ethical guidance for Councillors when dealing with lobbyists, potential developers or developers who have made a development application and submitters to a development application.

It is always the aim of Council to ensure that all decisions are legal, ethical and impartial. Such principles are reflected in section 4 the Local Government Act 2009 (i.e. the “local government principles”) and section 12 of that Act (the responsibilities of Councillors).

Providing a policy as to how Councillors should interact with lobbyists and the development industry will assist in better decision making. For avoidance of doubt, this procedure is in addition to the requirements and processes imposed upon Councillors and lobbyists by the Integrity Act 2009

## 2. PURPOSE

Free and open access to Councillors, and Council itself, is vital to efficient and effective local government.

### 3. POLICY OBJECTIVES

Contact with Councillors is undertaken by many people in the community in relation to a broad range of matters. Lobbyists, developers and submitters seek access to Councillors to discuss potential and existing development applications and other projects. The public has a clear expectation that such contact is carried out ethically and transparently.

This procedure is intended to provide ethical guidance for Councillors when dealing with lobbyists, potential developers or developers who have made a development application and submitters.

### 4. POLICY COMMITMENT

Meeting or Exchanging Other Communication With Potential Developers and Lobbyists

(Where no proposal presently before Council)

Councillors may encourage responsible and appropriate development in Council's area. Councillors should not feel inhibited, in any communications with potential developers and lobbyists (for a potential development), in promoting the benefits of developing in Council's local government area.

However, even in dealings with potential developers and lobbyists (for a potential development) Councillors:-

- Must make clear to potential developers and lobbyists that they can provide general information on the application process but cannot give definitive advice about the developer's or lobbyists chance of success;
- Should suggest that the developer or lobbyist seeks independent professional advice;
- If applicable, must encourage potential development applications and lobbyists to seek preliminary advice on their proposal by utilising the established process for the pre-lodgement meetings with Council staff;
- Must state that any opinions expressed by the Councillor are personal to the Councillor and do not in any way represent the Council's possible attitude to the potential application.

Similarly, in relation to potential submitters to a development application, Councillors should not feel inhibited about discussing with potential submitters what is publicly known about a potential development application. Again, Councillors:-

- Must make clear to potential submitters that they can provide general information on the application process but cannot give definitive advice about the developer's chance of success;
- Should suggest that the submitter seeks independent professional advice;
- Must state that any opinions expressed by the Councillor are personal to the Councillor and do not in any way represent the Council's possible attitude to the potential application.

In all exchanges of communication with a potential developer, lobbyist (for a potential development) or potential submitter, Councillors should keep and maintain a written record of same. This written record should detail, as a minimum, the date and time of the exchange, the format of the exchange (i.e. face to face meeting, telephone call, exchange of emails or exchange of correspondence), a summary of the matters raised with the Councillor and a summary of the Councillors response.

Meeting with Developers, Lobbyists and Submitters after a Development Application has been Lodged  
Meetings

After a development application has been lodged, any requests for meetings between Councillors and developers, lobbyists or submitters should only occur by arrangement

through the office of Council's CEO (or his/her delegate) and only in circumstances where a Council officer (with adequate knowledge of the development application) is also present.

At any such meetings, again, Councillors must state:-

- That any opinions expressed by the Councillor are personal to the Councillor and do not in any way represent the Council's possible attitude to the development application; and
- In relation to Council's possible decision on the application, that the Councillors principal obligation is to serve the public interest by ensuring that his/her decision is:-
  1. Consistent with the planning legislation, Council's Planning Scheme and Policies; and
  2. Made after having appropriate regard to any officer's (or Council appointed consultant's) advice; and
  3. Not influenced by any other relevant or inappropriate consideration

Councillors must keep a written record summarizing the matters discussed at any such meeting. This written record should detail, as a minimum, the date and time of the meeting, a summary of the matters raised with the Councillor and a summary of the Councillor's response.

#### Other Communications

After a development application has been lodged, if a Councillor engages in telephone discussions, email or other correspondence exchange with a developer, lobbyist or submitter (where they are seeking the Councillor's support or opposition (as the case may be) to a development application), any such response from the Councillor must include the following statements: -

- That any opinions expressed by the Councillor are personal to the Councillor and do not in any way represent the Council's possible attitude to the development application; and
- In relation to Council's possible decision on the application, that the Councillor's principal obligation is to serve the public interest by ensuring that his /her decision is: -
  1. Consistent with the planning legislation, Council's planning scheme and policies; and
  2. Made after having appropriate regard to any officer's (or Council appointed consultant's) advice; and
  3. Not influenced by any other irrelevant or inappropriate consideration.

Councillors must keep a written record of any such communications. This written record should detail, as a minimum, the date and time of the exchange, the format of the exchange (i.e. telephone call, exchange of emails or exchange of correspondence), a summary of the matters raised with the councillor and a summary of the Councillor's response.

## **5. DEFINITIONS**

For the purposes of this policy, the following terms are defined:-

"Developer" - an applicant for development approval. If the applicant is a body corporate, the term includes officer holders and employees of the applicant. If the applicant is a partnership, the term includes partners and employees of the applicant.

“Development Application” - an application for development that requires assessment against the provisions of Council’s Town Planning Scheme.

“Development Approval” – a development application that has been approved by Council.

“Lobbyist” – has the same meaning as defined in the *Integrity Act 2009*.

“Submitter”- has the same meaning as defined in the *Planning Act 2016*.

**13. REVIEW DATE**

June 2024

**14. NOTE**

Prepared by King and Company, Solicitors – August 2010 and endorsed by LGAQ Executive 30 August 2010.